

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,669	09/22/2005	Stephan Hartwig	915-006.061 7423	
4955 WARE FRESS	7590 01/05/200 SOLA VAN DER SLUY	EXAMINER		
ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			DAO, MINH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			,	
. SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 01/05/2007			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antion Comments	10/519,669	HARTWIG, STEPHAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MINH D. DAO	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·				
<u> </u>	—· s action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-23</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	a priority under 25 H.S.C. S. 140(a)	) (4) == (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ul>						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmont(a)						
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗔 I=4==::=	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9,11,12,14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kaplan (US 2005/0192025).

Regarding claim 1, Kaplan teaches a method for establishing a connection from a mobile device to a second device both provided with a short range wireless communications module (see fig. 3; section [0004]) comprising the steps of:

determining a present environment of the mobile device determined by means of a present position of the mobile device (see sections [0004,0055,0056,0078,0079]),

determining an address of the second device in dependence on said present environment of the mobile device (see sections [0037,0049]), and

setting up a connection to the second device using the address determined in the previous step (see sections [0004]).

Regarding claim 2, Kaplan teaches

- discovering which devices are available and what their addresses are in parallel with determining the address of the second device in dependence on the present environment (see section [0004,0049]), and

- setting up the connection to the second device upon selecting it by a user using the address discovered in the discovering step (see sections [0004]).

Regarding claim 3, Kaplan teaches that for setting up the connection, the second device is paged by means of the short range wireless communications module of the mobile device using the address determined in dependence on the present environment of the mobile device (see sections [0004]).

Regarding claim 4, Kaplan teaches that the address of the second device is determined by comparing environment data according to the present environment of the mobile device with environment data of devices stored in a memory of the mobile device together with the device address (see section [0037]).

Regarding claim 5, Kaplan teaches that the environment data and the device address of the second device are stored when the mobile device is connected to the second device (see section [0037]).

Regarding claim 6, Kaplan teaches that the environment data of the mobile device

being connected to a second device is stored as environment data of the second

device (see sections [0037, 0008]).

Regarding claim 7, Kaplan teaches that the environment data of the second device to

be stored in the mobile device is transmitted from the second device to the mobile

device (see sections [0049]; also see abstract of Kaplan).

Regarding claim 8, Kaplan teaches that the environment data and the device

address of the second device are transmitted and stored independently from a

connection between the mobile device and the second device (see fig. 3; section

[0004]).

Regarding claim 9, Kaplan teaches that in case that only one device address is stored

together with environment data corresponding to the present environment of the mobile

device a connection to this device is set up automatically (see section [0004]).

Regarding claim 11, Kaplan teaches that more than one device addresses are stored

together with environment data corresponding to the present environment of the

mobile device, a list of those devices is output to the user for selecting that second

device that the user wants the mobile device to be connected to by the wireless

communications module (see sections [0004,0049]).

Regarding claim 12, Kaplan teaches that the devices are identified in a name format in the list output to the user (see sections [0004,0049,0037]).

Regarding claim 14, Kaplan teaches that the present position of the mobile device is obtained by determining the position of the mobile device in a cellular radio communications network (see sections [0010,0011]).

Regarding claim 15, Kaplan teaches that the present position of the mobile device is obtained by determining the position of the mobile device by means of a satellites based positioning system, in particular by means of the global positioning system (see section [0055]).

Regarding claim 16, Kaplan teaches that the address of the second device is determined by calculating the device address from the position data of the present position of the mobile device using a predefined deterministic function (see section [0056]).

Regarding claim 17, Kaplan teaches that in the present environment of the mobile device is determined by means of the current user context, wherein the user context comprises information like user name, time information, number connections to the second device at a certain time of the day or similar (see sections [0040,0041]).

Regarding claim 18, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 1, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 19, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 2, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 20, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 14, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 14.

Regarding claim 21, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 15, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 15.

Regarding claim 22, the claim includes the limitations as that of claim 16, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 16.

Regarding claim 23, Kaplan teaches Mobile device as claimed in claim 17, further comprising a memory module for storing environment data (see fig. 3; section [0055]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan (US 2005/0192025).

Regarding claim 10, the rejection of claim 9 is herein incorporated. In addition, the limitation "mobile device is marked as to be automatically connected" does not make the claim allowable because an ordinary exhibit coordinator could obviously place a sign in front of an exhibit for the purpose of informing users of "automatic downloading of information" as they approach the exhibit.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/519,669

Art Unit: 2618

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao (1979) AU 2618 December 28, 2006 Matthew Anderson Superviser AU 2618 Page 8